

Nursing Home Five Star Analysis

St Josephs Home, Ogdensburg, NY

Extract Date: January 1, 2025

Overall 5 Star Rating: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Previous Month: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★
3 Months Prior: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

[Help \(/r/n5-help/\)](#)

Follow the sections below to see how your current rating is calculated and 2 scenarios that could possibly change your overall 5 star rating.

[View Overall 5 Star Trend \(?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#fivestar-Overall\)](#)

Growing Importance of Nursing Home Ratings

Receiving a better than average 5-star rating has never been more important to nursing homes.

These ratings are increasingly used by consumers, regulators, insurers and other payers, and provider networks to select which facilities they will consider having relationships with. Nursing homes may not even be able to obtain sufficient referrals or receive Medicare and Medicaid funding in the future if they do not have at least a 3-star rating.



Health Inspection 5 Star Rating: ★ ★ ★ ★
Previous Month: ★ ★ ★ ★
3 Months Prior: ★ ★ ★ ★

[Help \(/r/n5-help/health-inspection/\)](#)

The health inspection rating is based on the number, scope, and severity of deficiencies identified during the 3 or 2 most recent annual inspection surveys and 36 months of complaint investigations and focused infection control surveys. More than one revisit will have a negative impact on the score for a survey cycle. The total score from each survey cycle is weighted so that the more recent survey cycles have more impact on the overall total score as compared to the older survey cycle. [View Health Inspection 5 Star Trend \(?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#fivestar-Survey\)](#)

Inspection Cycle	1	2	3
Health Survey Date	2024-03-29	2021-12-10	2019-06-06
Count of Health Deficiencies			
Freedom from Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation	1	0	0
Quality of Life and Care	1	0	0
Resident Assessment and Care Planning	0	0	0

Nursing and Physician Services	0	0	0
Resident Rights	0	0	0
Nutrition and Dietary	1	2	0
Pharmacy Service	1	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Administration	0	0	0
Infection Control	0	0	1
Standard Inspection			
Number of Standard Inspection Health Deficiencies	4	2	1
Scope of most Severe Standard Inspection Health Deficiency	Some	Few	Few
Severity of most Severe Standard Inspection Health Deficiency	Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	Minimal harm or potential for actual harm
Complaint Inspection			
Number of Complaint Inspection Health Deficiencies	1	0	0
Scope of most Severe Complaint Inspection Health Deficiency	Few		
Severity of most Severe Complaint Inspection Health Deficiency	Minimal harm or potential for actual harm		
Infection Control Inspection			
Number of Infection Control Inspection Health Deficiencies	0	0	0
Scope of most Severe Infection Control Inspection Health Deficiency			
Severity of most Severe Infection Control Inspection Health Deficiency			
Total Number of Health Deficiencies	4	2	1
Health Deficiency Score	24	8	4
Number of Health Revisits	1	1	1
Health Revisit Score	0	0	0
Total Health Score	24	8	4
Survey Weighting	1/2	1/3	1/6
Weighted Total Health Score	12	3	1
Total Weighted Health Survey Score	15		

Use  Quality Metrics (<https://data.leadingageny.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/>) (login required using your MyLeadingAge username and password) and the  Nursing Home Trend Report (?traction=r.nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#inspection) to compare your inspection ratings to your peers.







Staffing 5 Star Rating: ★ ★ ★ ★

Previous Month: only updated quarterly

3 Months Prior: ★ ★ ★ ★

[Help \(/r/n5-help/staffing/\)](#)


[View Staffing 5 Star Trend \(?tracation=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#livestar-Staffing\)](#)

Staffing Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
Adjusted Weekend Total Nurse Hours Per Day View Trend (?tracation=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#adj_nurse_weekend)	3.513	35		Decreasing the rate for this measure by 2.4% or by approximately 0.084 could result in losing 5 points.	3.429	3.667
Adjusted RN Hours Per Day View Trend (?tracation=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#adj_rn)	0.616	60		Decreasing the rate for this measure by 4.1% or by approximately 0.025 could result in losing 10 points.	0.591	0.677
Adjusted Total Nurse Hours Per Day View Trend (?tracation=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#adj_total)	3.913	70		Potential To Lose Points Decreasing the rate for this measure by 0.1% or by approximately 0.003 could result in losing 10 points.	3.91	4.15
Administrator Turnover Count View Trend (?tracation=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#turnover_adm)	0	30		Potential To Lose Points Although full points are currently being attained for this measure, increasing the count by 1 would result in losing 5 points.	0	0
RN Turnover Percentage View Trend (?tracation=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#turnover_rn)	12.5	50		Full points are currently being attained for this measure, but increasing this rate by 60% or by approximately 7.5 could result in losing 5 points.	0	20
Total Nurse Turnover Percentage View Trend (?tracation=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#turnover_nurse)	22	50		Full points are currently being attained for this measure, but increasing this rate by 41.5% or by approximately 9.126 could result in losing 5 points.	0	31.126

Note: The staffing measures and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full staffing cut point tables, click [here \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/staffing-cut-points/\)](#).

Total Points	Unscaled	Maximum	Available	Scaling Factor	Re-Scaled
Current	295				295
Gain	295	380	380	1	295
Lose	280				280
Gain and lose	280				280

Staffing Stars



Interpretation

- This facility currently has a 5 star staffing rating of 4.
- The value of 'Adjusted Total Nurse Hours Per Day' is close to a cut point and has the potential to result in losing 10 points.
- The value of 'Administrator Turnover Count' is close to a cut point and has the potential to result in losing 5 points.
- Although the scores are close to a cut point, there is a possibility that the change in cut point groups could result in the staffing rating remaining constant.

Use [Quality Metrics \(https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/\)](https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/) (login required using your MyLeadingAge username and password) and the

[Nursing Home Trend Report \(?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#staffing\)](#) to compare your staffing to your peers.

[↔ View Cut Points \(/r/n5-help/staffing/staffing-cut-points/\)](#)


Quality Measure 5 Star Rating: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

Previous Month: only updated quarterly

3 Months Prior: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

[Help \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/\)](#)

Long Stay Quality Measure 5 Star Rating: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

 [View Quality Measure 5 Star Trend \(?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#fivestar-Quality\)](#)

Disclaimer:

- Based on this provider having missing short-stay QM data, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays, the Overall Quality Measure 5 Star Rating is based on the rating of the long-stay QMs only.

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom	Top
					Cut	Cut
					Point	Point
Higher Rate is Better						

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click here [\(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/\)](#).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
D/C Function Score	<div>State Average Due to Missing Data</div> 57.229	<div>Excluded</div> 105		<p>Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM:</p> <p>The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average:</p> <p>Decreasing this QM rate by 1.1% or by approximately 0.619 points could result in losing 15 points.</p>	56.61	60.34

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click here (</r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/>).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
Successful community discharge (short-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm005)	State Average Due to Missing Data 46.233	Excluded 60		Potential To Lose Points Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM: This QM is not publically reported possible due to a low number of stays. Thus the following is the interpretation based on the state average: Decreasing this QM rate by 0.3% or by approximately 0.143 points could result in losing 15 points.	46.09	49.16
Lower Rate is Better Antipsychotic Medications (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm419)	13.249	75		Potential To Gain Points Decreasing this QM rate by 0.2% or by approximately 0.029 points could result in gaining 15 points.	13.22	15.08

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/\)](#).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
New or Worsening Pressure Ulcers (short-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm038)	State Average Due to Missing Data 2.551	Excluded 60		Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM: The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average: Decreasing this QM rate by 13.7% or by approximately 0.351 points could result in gaining 20 points.	2.2	3.95
Number of outpatient emergency department (ED) visits per 1,000 resident days (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm552)	1.057	90		Decreasing this QM rate by 4.3% or by approximately 0.046 points could result in gaining 15 points.	1.011	1.193
Catheter (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm406)	0.764	80		Decreasing this QM rate by 33.2% or by approximately 0.254 points could result in gaining 20 points.	0.51	1.26

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/\)](/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
Urinary Tract Infection (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm407)	0.312	100		Full points are currently being attained for this QM, but increasing this rate by 124.7% or by approximately 0.388 points could result in losing 20 points.	0	0.7
Antipsychotic Medications (short-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm434)	<div>State Average Due to Missing Data</div> 1.287	<div>Excluded</div> 60		Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM: The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average: Decreasing this QM rate by 24.6% or by approximately 0.317 points could result in gaining 20 points.	0.97	1.68


Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here](/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/) (/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
Injurious Falls (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm410)	4.361	40		Increasing this QM rate by 17.9% or by approximately 0.779 points could result in losing 20 points.	3.57	5.14
Number of hospitalizations per 1,000 resident days (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm551)	0.509	150		Full points are currently being attained for this QM, but increasing this rate by 41.9% or by approximately 0.213 points could result in losing 15 points.	0	0.722
Ambulation Decline (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm451)	17.795	105		Increasing this QM rate by 4.9% or by approximately 0.865 points could result in losing 15 points.	15.6	18.66

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here](#) (/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
Hospital readmission (short-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm521)	State Average Due to Missing Data 21.957	Excluded 75		Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM: The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average: Increasing this QM rate by 1.5% or by approximately 0.323 points could result in losing 15 points.	20.94	22.28
ADL Decline (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm401)	19.139	75		Increasing this QM rate by 2.5% or by approximately 0.471 points could result in losing 15 points.	17.03	19.61
Pressure Ulcers (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm479)	5.755	60		Potential To Lose Points Increasing this QM rate by 3.7% or by approximately 0.215 points could result in losing 20 points.	4.46	5.97

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here \(/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/\)](/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
ED Visits (short-stay) View Trend (?fraction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm522)	State Average Due to Missing Data 10.266	Excluded 75		Potential To Lose Points Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM: The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average: Increasing this QM rate by 1.5% or by approximately 0.154 points could result in losing 15 points.	9.26	10.42


Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/\)](/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/).

Disclaimer:

- Based on this provider having missing short-stay QM data, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays, the Overall Quality Measure 5 Star Rating is based on the rating of the long-stay QMs only.

Total Points	Overall	Long-Stay	Short-Stay
Current	775	775	0
Gain	790	790	0
Lose	755	755	0
Gain and lose	770	770	0

Long-Stay (and Overall)



Use [Quality Metrics \(https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/\)](https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/) (login required using your MyLeadingAge username and password) and the [Nursing Home Trend Report \(?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm\)](#) to compare your QMs to your peers or to see how your QMs are trending.

[View Cut Points \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/\)](#)

Overall 5 Star Rating: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

Previous Month: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

3 Months Prior: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

[Help \(/r/n5-help/\)](#)

Follow the steps below to see how your current rating is calculated and 2 scenarios could possibly change your overall 5 star rating.

Step	Instruction	Current	Likely to Gain	Likely to Lose
1	Start with the health inspection rating.	4	4	4
2	Add one star to the Step 1 result if the staffing rating is five stars; subtract one star if the staffing rating is one star. The overall rating cannot be more than five stars or less than one star.	+ 0	+ 0	- 0
3	Add one star to the Step 2 result if quality measure rating is five stars; subtract one star if quality measure rating is one star. The overall rating cannot be more than five stars or less than one star.	+ 1	+ 1	+ 1

Step	Instruction	Current	Likely to Gain	Likely to Lose
Note	If the health inspection rating is one star, then the overall quality rating cannot be upgraded by more than one star based on the staffing and quality measure ratings.	- 0	- 0	- 0
Final Overall Star Rating		5	5	5
Use	Quality Metrics (https://data.leadingageny.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/) (login required using your MyLeadingAge username and password) and the Nursing Home Trend Report (?tracation=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#fivestar-Overall) to compare your 5 Star Ratings to your peers.			

Nursing Home Five Star Analysis

St Josephs Home, Ogdensburg, NY

Extract Date: January 1, 2025

Overall 5 Star Rating: ★★★★★

Previous Month: ★★★★★

3 Months Prior: ★★★★★

[Help \(/r/n5-help/\)](#)

Follow the sections below to see how your current rating is calculated and 2 scenarios that could possibly change your overall 5 star rating.

[View Overall 5 Star Trend \(?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#fivestar-Overall\)](#)

Growing Importance of Nursing Home Ratings

Receiving a better than average 5-star rating has never been more important to nursing homes.

These ratings are increasingly used by consumers, regulators, insurers and other payers, and provider networks to select which facilities they will consider having relationships with. Nursing homes may not even be able to obtain sufficient referrals or receive Medicare and Medicaid funding in the future if they do not have at least a 3-star rating.

Health Inspection 5 Star Rating: ★★★★★

Previous Month: ★★★★★



3 Months Prior: ★★★★★

[Help \(/r/n5-help/health-inspection/\)](#)

The health inspection rating is based on the number, scope, and severity of deficiencies identified during the 3 or 2 most recent annual inspection surveys and 36 months of complaint investigations and focused infection control surveys. More than one revisit will have a negative impact on the score for a survey cycle. The total score from each survey cycle is weighted so that the more recent survey cycles have more impact on the overall total score as compared to the older survey cycle. [View Health Inspection 5 Star Trend \(?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#fivestar-Survey\)](#)

Inspection Cycle	1	2	3
Health Survey Date	2024-03-29	2021-12-10	2019-06-06
Count of Health Deficiencies			
Freedom from Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation	1	0	0
Quality of Life and Care	1	0	0
Resident Assessment and Care Planning	0	0	0

Nursing and Physician Services	0	0	0
Resident Rights	0	0	0
Nutrition and Dietary	1	2	0
Pharmacy Service	1	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Administration	0	0	0
Infection Control	0	0	1
Standard Inspection			
Number of Standard Inspection Health Deficiencies	4	2	1
Scope of most Severe Standard Inspection Health Deficiency	Some	Few	Few
Severity of most Severe Standard Inspection Health Deficiency	Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	Minimal harm or potential for actual harm
Complaint Inspection			
Number of Complaint Inspection Health Deficiencies	1	0	0
Scope of most Severe Complaint Inspection Health Deficiency	Few		
Severity of most Severe Complaint Inspection Health Deficiency	Minimal harm or potential for actual harm		
Infection Control Inspection			
Number of Infection Control Inspection Health Deficiencies	0	0	0
Scope of most Severe Infection Control Inspection Health Deficiency			
Severity of most Severe Infection Control Inspection Health Deficiency			
Total Number of Health Deficiencies	4	2	1
Health Deficiency Score	24	8	4
Number of Health Revisits	1	1	1
Health Revisit Score	0	0	0
Total Health Score	24	8	4
Survey Weighting	1/2	1/3	1/6
Weighted Total Health Score	12	3	1
Total Weighted Health Survey Score	15		

Use  Quality Metrics (<https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/>) (login required using your MyLeadingAge username and password) and the  Nursing Home Trend Report ([?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#inspection](https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-trend-report/?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#inspection)) to compare your inspection ratings to your peers.

Staffing 5 Star Rating: ★ ★ ★ ★

Previous Month: only updated quarterly

3 Months Prior: ★ ★ ★ ★

[Help \(/r/n5-help/staffing/\)](#)


[View Staffing 5 Star Trend \(?trac=rf:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#fivestar-Staffing\)](#)

Staffing Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
Adjusted Weekend Total Nurse Hours Per Day View Trend (?trac=rf:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#adj_nurse_weekend)	3.513	35		Decreasing the rate for this measure by 2.4% or by approximately 0.084 could result in losing 5 points.	3.429	3.667
Adjusted RN Hours Per Day View Trend (?trac=rf:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#adj_rn)	0.616	60		Decreasing the rate for this measure by 4.1% or by approximately 0.025 could result in losing 10 points.	0.591	0.677
Adjusted Total Nurse Hours Per Day View Trend (?trac=rf:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#adj_total)	3.913	70		Potential To Lose Points Decreasing the rate for this measure by 0.1% or by approximately 0.003 could result in losing 10 points.	3.91	4.15
Administrator Turnover Count View Trend (?trac=rf:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#turnover_adm)	0	30		Potential To Lose Points Although full points are currently being attained for this measure, increasing the count by 1 would result in losing 5 points.	0	0
RN Turnover Percentage View Trend (?trac=rf:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#turnover_rn)	12.5	50		Full points are currently being attained for this measure, but increasing this rate by 60% or by approximately 7.5 could result in losing 5 points.	0	20
Total Nurse Turnover Percentage View Trend (?trac=rf:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#turnover_nurse)	22	50		Full points are currently being attained for this measure, but increasing this rate by 41.5% or by approximately 9.126 could result in losing 5 points.	0	31.126

Note: The staffing measures and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full staffing cut point tables, click [here \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/staffing-cut-points/\)](#).

Total Points	Unscaled	Maximum	Available	Scaling Factor	Re-Scaled
Current	295				295
Gain	295	380	380	1	295
Lose	280				280
Gain and lose	280				280

Staffing Stars



Interpretation

- This facility currently has a 5 star staffing rating of 4.
- The value of 'Adjusted Total Nurse Hours Per Day' is close to a cut point and has the potential to result in losing 10 points.
- The value of 'Administrator Turnover Count' is close to a cut point and has the potential to result in losing 5 points.
- Although the scores are close to a cut point, there is a possibility that the change in cut point groups could result in the staffing rating remaining constant.

Use [Quality Metrics \(https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/\)](https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/) (login required using your MyLeadingAge username and password) and the

[Nursing Home Trend Report \(?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#staffing\)](#) to compare your staffing to your peers.

[↔ View Cut Points \(/r/n5-help/staffing/staffing-cut-points/\)](/r/n5-help/staffing/staffing-cut-points/)

Quality Measure 5 Star Rating: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

Previous Month: only updated quarterly

3 Months Prior: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

[Help \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/\)](#)

Long Stay Quality Measure 5 Star Rating: ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

[View Quality Measure 5 Star Trend \(?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#fivestar-Quality\)](#)


Disclaimer:

- Based on this provider having missing short-stay QM data, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays, the Overall Quality Measure 5 Star Rating is based on the rating of the long-stay QMs only.

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom	Top
					Cut Point	Cut Point

Higher Rate is Better

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click here (/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
D/C Function Score	State Average Due to Missing Data 57.229	Excluded 105		<p>Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM:</p> <p>The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average:</p> <p>Decreasing this QM rate by 1.1% or by approximately 0.619 points could result in losing 15 points.</p>	56.61	60.34

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click here (</n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/>).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
Successful community discharge (short-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm005)	46.233 State Average Due to Missing Data	60 Excluded		Potential To Lose Points Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM: This QM is not publically reported possible due to a low number of stays. Thus the following is the interpretation based on the state average: Decreasing this QM rate by 0.3% or by approximately 0.143 points could result in losing 15 points.	46.09	49.16
Lower Rate is Better Antipsychotic Medications (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm419)	13.249	75		Potential To Gain Points Decreasing this QM rate by 0.2% or by approximately 0.029 points could result in gaining 15 points.	13.22	15.08

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/\)](#).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
New or Worsening Pressure Ulcers (short-stay) View Trend (?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm038)	State Average Due to Missing Data 2.551	Excluded 60		Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM: The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average: Decreasing this QM rate by 13.7% or by approximately 0.351 points could result in gaining 20 points.	2.2	3.95
Number of outpatient emergency department (ED) visits per 1,000 resident days (long-stay) View Trend (?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm552)	1.057	90		Decreasing this QM rate by 4.3% or by approximately 0.046 points could result in gaining 15 points.	1.011	1.193
Catheter (long-stay) View Trend (?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm406)	0.764	80		Decreasing this QM rate by 33.2% or by approximately 0.254 points could result in gaining 20 points.	0.51	1.26

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here](#) (/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
Urinary Tract Infection (long-stay) View Trend (?tracation=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm407)	0.312	100		Full points are currently being attained for this QM, but increasing this rate by 124.7% or by approximately 0.388 points could result in losing 20 points.	0	0.7
Antipsychotic Medications (short-stay) View Trend (?tracation=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm434)	<div>State Average Due to Missing Data</div> 1.287	<div>Excluded</div> 60		Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM: The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average: Decreasing this QM rate by 24.6% or by approximately 0.317 points could result in gaining 20 points.	0.97	1.68


Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/\)](/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
Injurious Falls (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm410)	4.361	40		Increasing this QM rate by 17.9% or by approximately 0.779 points could result in losing 20 points.	3.57	5.14
Number of hospitalizations per 1,000 resident days (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm551)	0.509	150		Full points are currently being attained for this QM, but increasing this rate by 41.9% or by approximately 0.213 points could result in losing 15 points.	0	0.722
Ambulation Decline (long-stay) View Trend (?trac=rf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm451)	17.795	105		Increasing this QM rate by 4.9% or by approximately 0.865 points could result in losing 15 points.	15.6	18.66

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here](#).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
Hospital readmission (short-stay) View Trend (?fraction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm521)	State Average Due to Missing Data 21.957	Excluded 75		Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM: The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average: Increasing this QM rate by 1.5% or by approximately 0.323 points could result in losing 15 points.	20.94	22.28
ADL Decline (long-stay) View Trend (?fraction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm401)	19.139	75		Increasing this QM rate by 2.5% or by approximately 0.471 points could result in losing 15 points.	17.03	19.61
Pressure Ulcers (long-stay) View Trend (?fraction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm479)	5.755	60		Potential To Lose Points Increasing this QM rate by 3.7% or by approximately 0.215 points could result in losing 20 points.	4.46	5.97

Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/\)](/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/).

Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Cutpoint View	Interpretation	Bottom Cut Point	Top Cut Point
ED Visits (short-stay) View Trend (?fraction=r.nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm522)	10.266 State Average Due to Missing Data	75 Excluded	 <p>9.3 10.3 10.4</p>	Potential To Lose Points Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM: The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average: Increasing this QM rate by 1.5% or by approximately 0.154 points could result in losing 15 points.	9.26	10.42


Note: The QM rates and points in this table have been rounded to either one, two, or three decimal places due to space constraints. However, the full QM value has been used to calculate facility scores and rankings. To view the full QM cut point tables, click [here \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/\)](/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/).

Disclaimer:

- Based on this provider having missing short-stay QM data, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays, the Overall Quality Measure 5 Star Rating is based on the rating of the long-stay QMs only.

Total Points	Overall	Long-Stay	Short-Stay
Current	775	775	0
Gain	790	790	0
Lose	755	755	0
Gain and lose	770	770	0

Long-Stay (and Overall)



Use [Quality Metrics \(https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/\)](https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/) (login required using your MyLeadingAge username and password) and the [Nursing Home Trend Report \(?traction=r:nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#qm\)](https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/#/nursing-home-trend-report) to compare your QMs to your peers or to see how your QMs are trending.

[View Cut Points \(/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/\)](/r/n5-help/quality-measures/quality-measure-cut-points/)

Overall 5 Star Rating: ★★★★★

Previous Month: ★★★★★

3 Months Prior: ★★★★★

[Help \(/r/n5-help/\)](/r/n5-help/)

Follow the steps below to see how your current rating is calculated and 2 scenarios could possibly change your overall 5 star rating.

Step	Instruction	Current	Likely to Gain	Likely to Lose
1	Start with the health inspection rating.	4	4	4
2	Add one star to the Step 1 result if the staffing rating is five stars; subtract one star if the staffing rating is one star. The overall rating cannot be more than five stars or less than one star.	+ 0	+ 0	- 0
3	Add one star to the Step 2 result if quality measure rating is five stars; subtract one star if quality measure rating is one star. The overall rating cannot be more than five stars or less than one star.	+ 1	+ 1	+ 1

Step	Instruction	Current	Likely to Gain	Likely to Lose
Note	If the health inspection rating is one star, then the overall quality rating cannot be upgraded by more than one star based on the staffing and quality measure ratings.	- 0	- 0	- 0
Final Overall Star Rating		5	5	5
Use	<div>Quality Metrics (https://data.leadingagency.org/tools/nursing-home-data-tool/) (login required using your MyLeadingAge username and password) and the <div>Nursing Home Trend Report (?traction=r.nf.o&u=5137B2F3-25BD-4E9D-BF57-B9039D294A77&w=p#fivestar-Overall)</div> to compare your 5 Star Ratings to your peers.</div>			